

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF UNIVERSAL  
PHARMACY AND BIO SCIENCES**

Pharmaceutical Sciences

Research Article.....!!!

**QUANTITATIVE ESTIMATION OF PHENOL, FLAVONOIDS, TANNIN  
AND EVALUATION OF ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY IN *EUPHORBIA  
HIRTA* LEAF****DR.S. SENTHILKUMAR**

Karur, Tamilnadu, India.

**KEYWORDS:**

Quantitative Estimation,  
Tannin, Phenol,  
Flavonoids, *Euphorbia  
hirta*.

**For Correspondence:****DR.S.SENTHILKUMAR.****Address:**

Karur, Tamilnadu, India.

**ABSTRACT**

Free radicals have been claimed to play an important role in affecting human healthy by causing several chronic diseases, such as cancer, diabetes, aging, atherosclerosis, hypertension, heart attack and other degenerative diseases. These free radicals are generated during body metabolism. Exogenous in take of antioxidants can help the body scavenge free radicals effectively. Now days, there is a noticeable interest in antioxidants, especially in those which can prevent the presumed deleterious effects of free radicals. *Euphorbia hirta* has a hairy stem with many branches from the base to the top.

**INTRODUCTION:**

Natural antioxidants have great interest among scientist because of their anti carcinogenic and health promoting properties, plants are good source of phytochemicals such as vitamin E, vitamin C, carotenoid, flavonoids, glutathione, ascorbic acid etc. which having antioxidant properties (1). Antioxidants are the chemical compounds which cause delay or inhibition of oxidation of other molecules by inhibiting the initiation or propagation of oxidizing chain reaction (2). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as super oxide radicals, hydroxyl radicals, single oxygen and hydrogen peroxide are generated as by-products of biological reaction or other factor (3).

All living organisms contain an antioxidant defensive mechanism to counter the free radicals, reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species (RNS) and other oxidants produced by products of the metabolism. Most of the diseases caused by overproduction and reactive mechanism of free radicals (4,5). Many medicinal plants, spices, aromatic plants used as food supplements and medicines are natural antioxidants (6). There has been an upsurge of interest for potential antioxidants from plant sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:****PREPARATION OF ETHANOL EXTRACT:**

The leaves of *Euphorbia hirta* were shade dried at room temperature. The dried material was then homogenized to obtain coarse powder and stored in air-tight bottles for further analysis. The shade dried, powdered leaves were extracted with ethanol by lot extraction using Soxhlet apparatus collected and stored in a vial for further analysis.

**CHEMICALS:**

All chemicals used including the solvents, were analytical grade. Folin-ciocalteu phenol reagent, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH), polyvinyl polypyrrolidone (PVPP), Ammonium acetate, glacial acetic acid, 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ) and Ethanol were purchased from Merck Co (Germany).

**Determination of total phenolics and tannins**

The total phenolic content was determined according to the method described by Siddhuraju and Becker (2003). Ten microlitre aliquots of the extracts (2mg/2ml) were taken in test tubes and made up to the volume of 1 ml with distilled water. Then 0.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent and 2.5 ml of sodium carbonate solution (20%) were added sequentially in each tube. Soon after vortexing the reaction mixture, the test tubes were placed in dark for 40min and the

absorbance was recorded at 725 nm against the reagent blank. The analysis was performed in triplicate and the results were expressed as tannic acid equivalents

Using the same extracts the tannins were estimated after treatment with polyvinyl polypyrrolidone (PVPP) (Siddhuraju and Manian,2007). One hundred milligrams of PVPP was weighed into a 100\*12 mm test tube and to this 1 ml distilled water and then 1 ml of the sample extracts were added. The content was vortexed and kept in the test tube at 4° C for 4h. Then the sample was centrifuged (3000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature ) and the supernatant was collected. This supernatant has only simple phenolics other tannins. The phenolic content of the supernatant was measured as mentioned above and expressed as the results, the tannin content of sample was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Tannin (\%)} = \text{Total phenolics (\%)} - \text{Non-tannin phenolics (\%)}$$

#### **Determination of total flavonoid content**

The flavonoid content was determined by the use of a slightly modified method 5% NaNO<sub>2</sub> solution. After 6 min, 0.15ml of 10% AlCl<sub>3</sub> solution was added and allowed to stand for 6 min, and then 2ml of 4% NaOH solution was added to the mixture. Immediately, water was added to bring the final volume to 5ml, and then the mixture was thoroughly mixed and allowed to stand for another 15min. Absorbance of the mixture was determined at 510 nm versus water blank. The analysis was performed in triplicate and the results were expressed as rutin equivalent.

#### **DPPH radical scavenging activity (Blois, 1958)**

The sample extracts at various concentrations was added to 5ml of a 0.1 mM methanolic solution of DPPH and allowed to stand for 20 min at 27<sup>0</sup> C. The absorbance of the sample was measured at 517 nm. Radical scavenging activity was expressed as the inhibition percentage of free radical by the sample and was calculated using the formula

$$\% \text{ DPPH radical scavenging activity} = (\text{Control OD} - \text{Sample OD} / \text{Control OD}) \times 100$$

IC<sub>50</sub> values of the extract i.e., concentration of extract necessary to decrease the initial concentration of DPPH by 50% was calculated.

#### **Nitric oxide radical scavenging activity (Sreejayan and R1997)**

3ml of 10mM sodium nitroprusside in 0.2 M phosphate buffered saline (p<sup>H</sup> 7.4 ) was mixed with different concentrations of extract and incubated at room temperature for 150 min. After incubation time, 0.5 ml of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 0.1% naphthylethylene diamine dihydrochloride in 2% H<sub>3</sub> PO<sub>4</sub>) was added. The absorbance of the chromophore formed was read at 546 nm. Percentage radical scavenging activity of the sample was calculated as follows:

$$\% \text{ NO radical scavenging activity} = (\text{control OD} - \text{sample OD} / \text{control OD}) \times 100$$

### **Superoxide radical scavenging activity (Beauchamp and Fridovich, 1971)**

Each 3 ml reaction mixture contained 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (p<sup>H</sup> 7.6), 20 mg riboflavin, 12mM EDTA, 0.1 mg NBT and various concentrations of sample extracts. Reaction was started by illuminating the reaction mixture with sample extract for 90 seconds. Immediately after illumination the absorbance was measured at 590 nm. The entire reaction assembly was enclosed in a box lined with aluminium foil. Identical tubes with reaction mixture kept in dark served as blank. The percentage inhibition of superoxide anion generation was calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = (\text{control OD} - \text{sample OD} / \text{control OD}) \times 10$$

### **Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity (Klein et al., 1991)**

Various quantities of extract were added with 1ml of iron-EDTA solution (0.13% ferrous ammonium sulfate and 0.26% EDTA), 0.5 ml of EDTA solution (0.018%) and 1ml of DMSO (0.85% V/V in 0.1M Phosphate buffer pH 7.4). The reaction initiated by adding 0.5ml of ascorbic acid (0.22%) and incubated at 80-90° C for 15 min in a water bath. After incubation the reaction was terminated by the addition of 1ml of ice cold TCA (17.5 w/v). Three milliliters of Nash reagent (75.0g of ammonium acetate, 3ml of glacial acetic acid were mixed and raised to IL with distilled water) was added and left at room temperature for 15 min. The reaction mixture without sample was used as control. The intensity of color formed was measured spectroscopically at 412 nm against reagent blank. The % hydroxyl radical scavenging activity by the following formula

$$\% \text{ HRSA} = 1 - (\text{difference in absorbance of sample} / \text{difference in absorbance of blank}) \times 100$$

### **Ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP) assay**

The antioxidant capacities of extracts of samples were estimated according to the procedure described by Pulido et al. (2000). FRAP reagent (900 µl), prepared freshly and incubated at 37° C, was mixed with 90 µl of distilled water and 30µl of test sample. The test sample was incubated at 37° C min in a water bath. The final dilution of the sample in the reaction mixture was 1/34. The FRAP reagent contained 2.5 ml of 20 mmol/l 2,4,5-tripyridyl-S-triazine (TPTZ) solution in 40 mmol/l HCL plus 2.5 mL of 20 mmol/l FeCl<sub>3</sub> . 6H<sub>2</sub>O and 25ml of 0.3 mol/l acetate buffer (pH 3.6) described by Siddhuraju and Beaker, (2003). At the end of incubation, the absorbance readings were taken immediately at 593 nm, using a spectrophotometer. The values are expressed as mmol Fe (II) per milligram extract.

**TABLE-1. Total phenol, Flaronoids and Tannin content of Ethanolic leaf extract of *Euphorbia hirta***

<b>Euphorbia hirta</b>	<b>Total Phenolics content (Mg/g extract)</b>	<b>Total Flaronoid content (Mg/g extract)</b>	<b>Total Tannin content (mg/g extract)</b>
<b>Leaf</b>	<b>86.96 ± 0.50</b>	<b>2.55 ± 0.20</b>	<b>44.25 ± 0.57</b>

**TABLE-2. Antioxidant activity of *Euphorbia hirta* leaf**

<b>S.L.NO</b>	<b>Antioxidant activity</b>	<b>Sample Concentration(mg)</b>	<b>Percentage activity</b>	<b>IC 50 (Mg)</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>DPPH radical scavenging activity</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>24.25 ± 0.53</b>	<b>159.74</b>
		<b>120</b>	<b>43.65 ± 0.12</b>	
		<b>180</b>	<b>62.94 ± 0.80</b>	
		<b>240</b>	<b>76.35 ± 0.32</b>	
		<b>300</b>	<b>85.61 ± 0.85</b>	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Nitric oxide radical scavenging activity</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>12.92 ± 0.74</b>	<b>247.52</b>
		<b>120</b>	<b>24.06 ± 0.42</b>	
		<b>180</b>	<b>33.80 ± 0.85</b>	
		<b>240</b>	<b>48.22 ± 0.58</b>	
		<b>300</b>	<b>62.45 ± 0.58</b>	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Super oxide radical scarvenging activity</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>3.44 ± 0.25</b>	<b>961.53</b>
		<b>120</b>	<b>6.60 ± 0.12</b>	
		<b>180</b>	<b>9.39 ± 0.19</b>	
		<b>240</b>	<b>12.46 ± 0.24</b>	
		<b>300</b>	<b>15.46 ± 0.24</b>	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>9.74 ± 0.64</b>	<b>331.12</b>
		<b>120</b>	<b>17.31 ± 0.37</b>	
		<b>180</b>	<b>27.70 ± 0.74</b>	
		<b>240</b>	<b>36.58 ± 0.99</b>	
		<b>300</b>	<b>54.23 ± 0.74</b>	

**Table-3. Ferric reducing / antioxidant power (FRAP) assay of *Euphorbia hirta* Leaf**

<b>FRAP assay of <i>Euphorbia hirta</i> leaf</b>	<b>772.50 ± 2.10</b>
--	----------------------

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

Renewed interest in plant antioxidant has emerged during the recent years, probably due to the appearance of undesirable side effects of certain commercial antioxidant. In medicinal plants world, there are a huge number of different types of bio active compounds with antioxidant activity that play an significant role in terminating the generation of free radical chain reactions. The total phenol, flavonoids and tannin content a results are presented in table-1.

*Euphorbia hirta* is a very popular herb amongst practitioners of tradition medicine, widely used as a decoction or in fusion to treat various ailments including intestinal parasites, diarrhoea, peptic ulcers, heartburn, vomiting, amoebic dysentery, asthma, bronchitis, has fever, laryngeal spasms, emphysema coughs, colds, kidney stones, menstrual problems, sterility and venereal diseases. *Euphorbia hirta* leaf extracts for free radical scavenging activity by DPPH, nitric oxide, superoxide, hydroxyl and FRAP assay values of IC 50 (mg). (Table-2,3).

**REFERENCES:**

1. Adil M, Bushra S, Tanveer B, Asad B, Muhammad A. and Qadeer ul H. (2012). Investigation on the Antioxidant Activity of leaves. Fruit and stem Bark of Dhraik. *European Journal of Applied sciences.*, 4(2): 47-51.
2. Noriham A, Wan saidatul syida W.K, Zainal S, Nurain A. and Khairusy syakisah Z.(2012). Evaluation of in-vitro antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of the various parts of *benincasa hispida*, *International journal of Phartech research* 4(4): 1367-1376.
3. Matyam Z, Farrukl A and Iqbal A. (2009). The invitro antioxidant activity and Total phenolic content of four Indian medicinal plants. *International journal of pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences.* 1(1): 88-95.
4. Alam M.N, Bristi N.J, Rafiquzzaman M. and Bristi N. (2013). Review on in vivo and in vitro methods of antioxidant activity *Saudi pharm J.* vol 21. No 2. PP.143-152.
5. Valko M, Leibfritz D, Moncot M.T, Mazur M. and Telser J. (2007). Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human diseases. *Int. J. Bioctem cell Biology.*, vol 39. No 1. PP.44-84.
6. Veeru P, Kishor M.P. and Meenakshi M, (2009). Screening of medicinal plant extracts for antioxidant activity. *J. med. Plant .res .*, vol 3. No 8. PP. 608-612.

7. Zhishen, J., Mengcheng, T. and Jianming, W., 1999. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. *Food Chemistry*, 64: 555-559.
8. Blois, M.S., 1958. Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. *Nature*, 26: 1199-1200.
9. Sreejayan N, Rao MNA. Nitric oxide scavenging by curcuminoids. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 49: 105-107 (1997).
10. Beauchamp, C. and Fridovich, I., 1971. Superoxide dismutase: Improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 44: 276-277.
11. Klein, S.M., Cohen, G. and Cederbaum, A.I., 1991. Production of formaldehyde during metabolism of dimethyl sulphoxide by hydroxyl radical generating system. *Biochemistry*, 20: 6006-6012.
12. Pulido, R., Bravo, L. and Saura-Calixto, F. (2000). Antioxidant activity of dietary polyphenols as determined by a modified ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay. *Journal of Agricultural food Chemistry*, 48, 3396-3402.